

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/000,433	TOMIZUKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1632

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey C. Pepe, Appl. Rep..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

9, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner indicated that claims 9 and 11 have the same scope. Mr. Pepe agreed to cancel claim 11 by an examiner's amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)